
System insecurity – firewalls

Mayur S. Desai

Assistant Professor, School of Business, Indiana University Kokomo, Kokomo, Indiana, USA

Thomas C. Richards

Professor, Business Computer Information Systems Department, The University of North Texas, Denton, Texas, USA

Thomas von der Embse

Professor, School of Business, Indiana University Kokomo, Kokomo, Indiana, USA

Keywords

Internet, Computer security, Encryption, Information systems

Abstract

The firewall is normally an intermediate system between the secure internal networks and the less secure external networks. It is intended to keep corporate systems safe from intruders, hackers, and accidental entry into the corporate system. The primary types of firewalls are screening routers, proxy servers, and stateful inspectors. Before choosing a firewall architecture, a company must have the right mind set regarding the threat. The purpose of this paper is to provide an introduction to firewall concepts and help develop this mind set.

Introduction

Internet connection sharpens the competitive edge of most businesses today since it gives them and their customers timely access to information. The use of the Internet spawns a new set of responsibilities and burdens for IS departments. Internet and general purpose computing were not designed to provide any great degree of security. The recent denial-of-service attacks on Amazon.com, eBay, Yahoo, and other Web sites show the vulnerabilities of information technology (IT) architectures. Information systems (IS) must deliver reliable Internet services to corporate users while ensuring that systems and information stay secure from outside threats.

An enterprise using Intranet must install firewalls to prevent outsiders from accessing its own private data resources and for controlling what outside resources its own users must have access. A recent survey of key Internet hosts found that 31 per cent were essentially wide open to potential attackers and 33 per cent had a high risk of potential problems (Hansen, 1996). Moreover, security breaches are increasing, with nearly 65 per cent of companies reporting violation in the three years from 1997 to 1999 (*Business Week*, 2000).

The increased accessibility to information has not come without a price. Any time information is distributed on any type of network, there is the potential that unauthorized persons will access such information (Michener, 1999). Allowing access to authorized individuals may inadvertently allow the intelligent intruder

to gain access for snooping or malicious purposes. Whether it is a computer “hacker” intentionally trying to gain access or an unintentional intrusion, the potential for lost or altered information is enormous. And, it could grow even worse as broadband connection increases. So how do companies protect themselves and their information? Many companies are turning to firewalls as a means of attaining these goals (Vizard, 2001).

What is a firewall?

A firewall provides an important tool for protecting a corporate network from Internet intrusions. A network firewall is a hardware/software barrier between a corporate network and the Internet (Kokka, 1998). A firewall is also an intelligent device that controls traffic between two or more networks for security purposes. Basically, a firewall, working closely with a router program, filters all network packets to determine whether to forward them to their destination. A firewall is a set of related programs, located at a network gateway server that protects the resources of a private network from users from other networks. It is the first line of defense for many firms, yet many small businesses shun firewalls because of their cost (McCollum, 1997).

A firewall usually consists of a UNIX or Windows NT computer running special firewall software. Other hardware platforms such as routers can also run firewall software. Although this software is usually associated with Internet connections, it can be used to control traffic between parts of an



Information Management &
Computer Security
10/3 [2002] 135–139

© MCB UP Limited
[ISSN 0968-5227]
[DOI 10.1108/0968522021043189 0]

The research register for this journal is available at
<http://www.emeraldinsight.com/researchregisters>



The current issue and full text archive of this journal is available at
<http://www.emeraldinsight.com/0968-5227.htm>

intranet or between networks of different corporations. A firewall is often installed in a specially designated computer separate from the rest of the network so that no incoming request can get directly at private network resources.

Typically, firewalls employ one of three architectures known as screen routers, proxy servers, and stateful inspectors. By combining the strengths of more than one approach, the firewall can be more effective. Many commercial firewalls employ more than one technique to provide data protection.

Screening routers

One of the less complex architectures is screening. This method screens requests to make sure they come from acceptable (previously identified) domain names and IP addresses. A screening router applies a set of rules to each incoming information packet and decides whether it should be forwarded (or not) to the internal system. The screening router filters packets based on information that is available in packet headers, such as protocol numbers, source and destination addresses and port numbers, and connection flags. Through this process, the router “screens” the information, allowing only approved information to pass through. The router serves an additional function of “routing” the information to the appropriate network or user.

The primary advantage of using screening routers is the low hardware costs and relative simplicity. The screening process is straightforward and the computing requirements are not excessive. Screening routers do have some important disadvantages. For example, it is difficult to set up the packet filter rules correctly. The possible combinations of sending addresses, receiving addresses, protocols, and flags make it difficult to establish rules which apply correctly in every situation and it is expensive to manage screening routers. Requirements continually change with more and more addresses required to be added to the “allowable” address lists. The screening router method also lacks user-level authentication protection. For that reason, a packet may be a “spoof” meant to look like an authorized and legal packet while in fact it has just breached the “firewall”.

Proxy servers

A firewall also includes or works with a proxy server that makes network requests on behalf of workstation users. The user validation may only require user identification and a password or it may be

more robust depending on the security desired. An example of robustness is the use of one-time passwords and a challenge-and-response system.

The advantages of proxy servers overcome some of the disadvantages of the screening routers. For instance, the proxy server employs user-level authentication procedures that can provide some protection from spoofing which could fool the screening router. The proxy server also provides logging and accounting information. This is very useful in detecting intrusions and intrusion attempts. It can also be used to document system use and communications workloads.

The major disadvantage of the proxy server results from the requirement to build an application-layer gateway for each application (Almeida, 1998). This requirement may severely limit the deployment of new applications or at least delay them excessively. For full benefit of the proxy server, there is no alternative other than building each gateway. The Internet protocol uses packets as described in the previous section to allow access directly with the intended destination. A proxy server interrupts this direct connection by introducing a gateway between the open network and the local network. To pass through this gateway, the outside location must address the “proxy” directly and ask for access to the intended recipient.

Upon contact, the proxy asks the user for the name of the remote host to be accessed. The user will respond with the host name, valid user identification and authentication information. Only then will the proxy server contact the host and relay packets between the two communication points (Knobbe *et al.*, 1998). The user validation may only require user identification and a password or it may be more robust depending on the security desired.

Stateful inspectors

But can a company feel safe if the packet is addressed correctly and the user authenticates appropriately? Apparently not because several firewall products now incorporate an inspection module called a stateful inspector (Luehlhing, 2000). This module is software that inspects packets to verify the application, user, and transportation method. By looking inside the packet, the inspector can also investigate the possibility of harmful viruses hiding in audio or video packets. Such viruses loom on the most immediate threat to many businesses, next to outright theft (McCollum, 1997). A special case of the stateful inspector

involving Java and X-Control protection will be discussed later. The major advantage of the stateful inspector is the ability to detect some intrusion attempts which would otherwise pass through a firewall. By looking into the packet rather than just at the header information, additional protection is afforded.

The primary disadvantage is the high maintenance activity involved with stateful inspectors. The stateful inspector might also delay the transfer of data. Moreover, the purpose is to find potentially damaging information, the application must be continually updated to recognize new viruses or intrusive applets. On the other hand, updating may be well worth the cost for firms such as National City Corporation which spent over \$400,000 to eradicate a single virus (McCune, 1998).

Encryption and firewalls

Encryption is generally not considered a part of the firewall architecture. However, encryption provides many of the safeguards associated with firewalls. Encryption can provide firewall protection in several ways. First, by encrypting passwords and authentication procedures, eavesdroppers are not able to copy passwords for later use in spoofing the system. Second, without the correct key, any encrypted data sent by an intruder would translate into unintelligible random characters and therefore have no meaning to the receiving system. Such corrupted data would not pass data checks on the host computer and could not be used to insert rogue viruses or programs into the host system. Third, any intruder reading corporate data being transmitted on an open network would not be able to gather any intelligence.

One use of encryption, called tunneling, is similar to the use of the proxy server firewall. At the transmitting end, the entire packet is encrypted using a company-specific key. Prior to sending the packet, an unencrypted header is added which addresses the proxy server at the destination (Greene, 2001). Upon receiving the packet, the proxy server strips off the unencrypted header and deciphers the packet. If the now-unencrypted header meets the protection criteria of the proxy server, the packet will be sent on to the intended host system. This method combines the advantages of encryption and the proxy server.

One disadvantage of encryption is more political than technical. Some countries do not allow encrypted data to be transmitted or

received within their borders. The USA has also placed some limits on the encryption techniques that can be used outside US borders. Another disadvantage of encryption is the added cost, complexity, and data transfer delays. Adding encryption increases the complexity of the required architecture and the network processes. Then there is the added time delay as data is encrypted and later deciphered. While this disadvantage is certainly worth considering, many corporations treat it as a cost of doing business in a secure manner.

Firewall management and architecture

Once a company has recognized that the threat exists, it must try to determine the nature of the threat, how much vulnerability is acceptable, and what is needed to stop the threat. Knowing the network infrastructure is of paramount importance. The world's best firewall will not protect the corporate wide area network (WAN) from a modem in a workstation that is logged on to the corporate network and is running communications software. Nor can it protect the corporate WAN from people using their names for passwords. Threats such as these must be approached from a corporate network security viewpoint rather than relying only on firewalls.

Assuming that the internal environment has been secured, what is the threat from the external environment? If legitimate users can access critical corporation information from outside the internal network, so can the intruder. The company must determine what damage can be inflicted by intrusion (Liebmann, 1999). Is the potential risk acceptable? Is it more appropriate to allow some intrusion than to burden the legitimate users? What would be the effect on company operations, if data files are corrupted or rogue programs inserted into company computers? These questions must be answered prior to developing a firewall strategy. For example, if the company wants outsiders to access a corporate database so they can interface more efficiently with the company, it may be wise to provide a replicated database on a standalone system for such access.

On the other hand, if highly sensitive data with competitive advantage implications is routinely sent over unsecured networks, it may be wise to install firewalls and encryption. Obviously, the corporation must understand the possible effects of a breach in

security and attempt to eliminate or minimize the threat (Hansen, 1996).

A few firewalls are in place, it is important to monitor their activity. Firewall monitoring can provide a significant amount of information about one's system security (Santalesa, 2000). For example, it can identify the number of times a threat was detected and countered. Monitoring may also provide clues of unsuccessful detection by monitoring actual access to your internal system. Additionally, it is important to monitor firewall performance to determine the system performance degradation attributable to the firewall. Severe degradation must be addressed through upgrades in hardware and/or software. If security breaches are detected, a recovery process must be in-place to systematically determine the damage and provide recovery operations.

Once a firewall is operational, a company should remain knowledgeable about firewall advances and emerging threats. Firewall technology will continue to advance providing the capability for increased protection. Changes in system architecture or data requirements may also require a change in the company's firewall strategy.

Recent firewall advances and the future

The advance of Java programmed applets and Active X-controls have made it more difficult to protect internal systems tied to the Internet. One recent advance is a firewall that resides on a desktop or notebook and helps protect users against faulty and malicious Java applets and Active X-controls, Trojan horses (programs that perform an illicit activity as they run), and rogue e-mails (Michener, 1999). While a firewall in the traditional sense does not reside as an intermediate system between the open systems and the internal system, the desktop firewall does provide additional security from unwanted intrusion.

Another advance is in the area of integrated firewalls. Forthcoming are routers that combine standards-based encryption, authentication, tunneling and firewall security into a single device optimized for Extranet. The principal advantages are the guaranteed compatibility among security processes and the provision for greater security with less architectural complexity.

Future products should incorporate more uniform industry standards. This is important because many encryption

packages today, are vendor specific and unable to communicate with products from other vendors. Better agreement on industry standards should allow greater growth in the security protection arena just as it allowed greater growth in the personal computer market.

Summary

The firewall is normally an intermediate system between secure internal networks and less secure external networks. It is intended to keep corporate systems safe from intruders, hackers, and accidental entry into the corporate system. The primary types of firewalls are screening routers, proxy servers, and stateful inspectors. Screening routers apply a set of rules to the incoming packets of information to determine if they should be forwarded. Proxy servers force external messages to be addressed to the proxy and only after authentication and authorization will the server pass packets on to the intended host.

Stateful inspectors examine the packets to verify the application, user, and transportation method. Only after the inspection has determined the packet is authentic and appropriate, is the packet then sent to the intended host. Encryption is another form of firewall protection that is being incorporated along with other firewall methods. Encryption provides the greatest degree of protection for the information itself as well as preventing access to those not possessing the appropriate encryption key. Globally, the use of encryption is often limited by political measures; however, it is gaining acceptance.

Before choosing a firewall architecture, a company must have the appropriate mindset about the threat. The future will see more integration of firewall technologies and the increased use of common standards in the industry. Companies must also determine the possible consequences of a breach in security and then develop a system to counter the threat. Eventually, new firewall technologies will address the potential dangers associated with the use of Java applets and Active X-controls on the Internet.

References

- Almeida, J. (1998), "Measuring proxy performance with the Wisconsin proxy benchmark", *Computer Networks and ISDN Systems*, Vol. 30 No. 22, pp. 2179-92.
Business Week (2000), "Cyber crime", *Business Week*, 21 February, pp. 37-42.

- Greene, T. (2001), "Next gen help create faster firewalls", *Network World*, Vol. 18 No. 14, pp. 78-93.
- Hansen, M. (1996), "Safety and the Internet", *Professional Safety*, Vol. 41 No. 1, pp. 8-10.
- Knobbe, R., Purtell, A. and Schwab, S. (1998), "Advanced security proxies: an architecture and implementation for high-performance network firewalls", *Proceedings of the DARPA Information Survivability Conference*, Vol. 1, pp. 341-7.
- Kokka, S. (1998), "Property rights on an Internet", *Journal of Technology Law & Policy*, Vol. 3 No. 2, pp. 24-35.
- Liebmann, L. (1999), "To have and have NAT: managing through the firewall", *Business Communications Review*, Vol. 29 No. 7, pp. 48-53.
- Luehlfing, M. (2000), "Defending the security of the accounting system", *The CPA Journal*, Vol. 70 No. 10, pp. 62-5.
- McCollum, T. (1997), "Computer crime", *Nation's Business*, November, pp. 18-26.
- McCune, J.C. (1998), "How safe is your data?", *Management Review*, October, pp. 17-21.
- Michener, J. (1999), "Systems insecurity in the Internet Age", *IEEE Software*, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 62-9.
- Santalesa, R. (2000), "A suite new answer to Internet security", *Internet Week*, 10 April, Vol. 50, p. 5.
- Vizard, M. (2001), "Beyond firewalls", *Infoworld*, Vol. 23 No. 8, pp. 69-4.